**Abstract**

**Background:** The success of endodontic therapy is governed by qualitative instrumentation and meticulous cleaning of the root canal system with irrigants. An irrigant is indeed required to reach the optimum ideal requirements without any side effects. The present study was designed to evaluate and compare the efficacy of a commercially available super-oxidized water (OXUM) in the removal of the smear layer with the gold standard (5% Sodium Hypochlorite and 17% Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) using the EndoVac irrigating system.

**Materials and Methods:** 30 human extracted straight mandibular premolar teeth were randomly divided into three groups (n=10) based on the type of irrigant to be employed in the course of the root canal preparation. Group A: 5% NaOCl + 17% EDTA, Group B: Super-oxidized Water, and Group C: Saline (Control). The teeth were split longitudinally and investigated using Field- Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Zeiss LEO 1530, Oberkothen, Germany) to analyze the amount of smear layer present. Torabinejad et al.’s criteria were used to score the images. Friedman test and Mann Whitney test were applied to identify if there is any significant difference among the values (P=<0.05).

**Results:** The control group showed the highest smear layer scores. However, the difference between group A and group B was not statistically significant. Additionally, the smear layer was removed significantly even from the apical third of the root canal.

**Conclusion:** Super-oxidized water (OXUM) proved to be an alternative to the conventional irrigants in the smear layer removal. EndoVac Irrigating System demonstrated enhanced smear layer removal in the apical third.
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**Introduction**

Meticulous debridement and thorough disinfection of the root canal space is crucial for successful endodontic treatment. This can be achieved by qualitative mechanical instrumentation (using hand and rotary system) and effective irrigation. [1] Several micro-CT studies (Peters et al., 2001; Jeon et al., 2003) concluded that even with careful instrumentation, inaccessible areas in the root canal system (i.e., isthmus and fins) remained untouched. [2, 3] This emphasizes the use of novel irrigants and irrigating systems during the routine endodontic treatment.

Biomechanical preparation using hand or rotary instruments produces considerable quantities of debris which is called the smear layer. According to McComb and Smith, the smear layer contains inorganic components like dentinal chips and odontoblastic process residues, along with organic components like necrotic pulp tissue and bacteria. [4] Though the presence of the smear layer is controversial, it must be removed from the infected root canals to reduce the chances of reinfection. The most commonly used combination, 5.25% Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl) with 17% Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), is effective in removing the smear layer and flushing out the debris. [5, 6] Super-oxidized water (OXUM, Alkem Labs, Mumbai, India), a novel endodontic irrigant, is an electrochemically processed aqueous solution. Since it is rich in reactive oxygen species, it is a potent antimicrobial solution that is available for use in medicine as well as in the dental profession.
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Despite the plethora of technological advancements seen over the last several decades, very few systems can deliver the irrigant in the most apical area of a root canal. Moreover, to increase the accessibility to the apical area, the needle must be placed till the working length which further increases the chances of forcing the solution into periodical tissue. However, the apical negative pressure irrigating system (EndoVac, Kerr Dental, USA) claims to deliver solutions safely and effectively up to the estimated working length and reduces the risk of irrigants being extruded into the periodical region.

Hence, the present study was aimed to evaluate and compare the efficacy of a commercially available super-oxidized water in smear layer removal with the gold standard using the EndoVac irrigating system.

Materials And Methods

Sample selection

Ethical approval was granted from the NITTE (Deemed to be University), Mangalore, India (Cert. No: ABSM/EC/82/2018) to collect 30 extracted sound human mandibular single-rooted premolar teeth within one month of extraction. The teeth were disinfectanted in 5% chloramine –T solution for 48 hours and were preserved in distilled water until use. Based on the macroscopic and radiographic evaluation, the teeth with caries, restoration, visible crack, abnormal morphology, and multiple root canal were excluded and a total of 30 teeth were selected.

Root canal instrumentation

Based on the irrigation solution to be used in the procedure all the specimens were randomly divided into three study groups with 10 teeth each (Figure 1). Group A: 5% NaOCl + 17% EDTA, Group B: Super-oxidized Water Group C: Saline (Control group). Access opening was performed using high-speed diamond bur with copious water spray. Pulp extirpation was done using barbed broaches (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). Patency with copious water spray. Pulp extirpation was done using barbed broaches (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). Patency was assured by inserting the number 10 hand stainless steel K-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) in the root canal until the tip of the file was visible at the apical foramen. The working length was determined by deducting one mm from the length of the file.

The coronal one third was flared with sizes 2-3 Gates-Glidden drills (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). All the root canals were instrumented using the number 20 hand stainless steel K-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) followed by ProTaper Gold rotary files (ProTaper Gold Rotary Files, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) up to F2 as per the manufacturer’s instructions in a crown down manner. In between, recapitulation was done with the number 10 stainless steel K-file to ensure the patency of the canal. With the change of each instrument, the canals were irrigated according to the groups using negative pressure irrigating systems per the manufacturer’s instructions (Figure 2). After completion of root canal preparation, final rinsing of canals was done using 3 ml of distilled water to flush out the debris. The paper points (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) were used to dry the canals.

Sample preparation for SEM

Longitudinal grooves were made on the buccal and lingual surfaces of the roots without perforating the canal using a diamond disk at a slow speed. Each specimen was split into two halves with a chisel and were stored in distilled water until SEM analysis. The superior aspect of each section was coded. The coded halves were dehydrated in ethanol followed by desiccation for 24 hours. Sputter coating was done of each specimen with gold and then the coronal third (10-14 mm from the apex), middle third (6-7 mm from the apex), and apical third (1-3 mm of the apex) of each specimen from each group. The prepared specimens were evaluated under Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Zeiss LEO 1530, Oberkochen, Germany). Photographs of the surface were taken at 4000 X magnification (Figure 3-5). The images were scored based on Torabinejad et al’s criteria:

Score 1 - No smear layer. No smear layer on the surface of the root canal; all tubules are clean and open.
Score 2 - Moderate smear layer. No smear layer on the surface of the root canal, but tubules contain debris.
Score 3 - Heavy smear layer. The smear layer covers root canal surfaces and tubules.

Collected data based on SEM images of each study group were analyzed statistically by using Friedman test and Mann Whitney U test.

Results And Discussion

The SEM images of all the study groups are shown in Figure 3-5. Friedman’s test showed that there is no significant difference in the removal of the smear layer from each third of the canal in...
all the study groups (Table 1). Hence, Mann Whitney was performed, and it showed no significant difference in the removal of the smear layer when group A and group B were compared (P-value >0.05) (Table 2).

The highest mean score was reported in the control group (Table 1). Hence, the heavy smear layer covering the root canal surface and tubules was found in each third of the root canal in all the specimens of group C (Figure 5).

The biological and clinical rationale of endodontic treatment is to completely clean and shape the canals to receive an optimal fluid-tight seal of radicular space. Smear layer formation during root canal preparation prevents penetration of irrigants into the dentinal tubules. Inadequate cleaning of the canal results in delayed healing and subsequent secondary infection. In the present study, both combinations of 5.25% NaOCl and EDTA and super-oxidized water removed the smear layer efficiently from the entire length of the canals. A study conducted by M. Rathakrishnan et al showed no significant difference between super-oxidized water and EDTA for removal of smear layer. In their study, super-oxidized water was evaluated as a final rinse. Additionally, it was less erosive to the dentinal structure than EDTA.[8]

As suggested by Wu et al (2001), single-rooted mandibular premolars with straight single canal were selected as study specimens to maintain standardization by avoiding anatomic complexity and variations. Furthermore, this was confirmed by taking radiographs of each sample.[15]
The most commonly used irrigant is a combination of 5.25% NaOCl with 17% EDTA. 5.25% NaOCl dissolves the necrotic tissue and presents antimicrobial activity (organic content of the smear layer) whereas inorganic content could be decalcified by 17% EDTA.[14, 16, 17] Super-oxidized water is a powerful antibacterial agent and rich in reactive oxygen species. It contains oxidized solution (H₂O), sodium hypochlorite, hypochlorous acid (HOCl), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), ozone (O₃), Chlorine dioxide (Cl₂O), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), and sodium chloride (NaCl).[7] The bacterial cell wall is denatured due to the osmolarity differences between the intracellular and extracellular environment, and the generation of free radicals from the solution.[18, 19] Solovyea et al.; 2000, combined hypochloric acid, hydrogen peroxide, and chlorine dioxide to compare the efficacy with 3% NaOCl alone, and a combination showed a higher amount of smear layer removal.[20] According to Cobankara et al; 2010, 13.8% Chlorine dioxide and 5.25% NaOCl are equally efficient in removing the organic content of the smear layer.[21]

Table 1: Comparative evaluation (Intergroup) of coronal, middle and apical third of each group for removal of smear layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mann Whitney U test (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A vs B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0.32 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1 (0.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8 (0.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0.15 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2 (0.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.62 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3 (0.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 Statistically significant
p>0.05 Non-Significant, NS

Table 2. Comparative evaluation (Intragroup) of each group in coronal, middle and apical third to check efficacy of EndoVac Irrigating system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Friedman test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chi Square Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apical</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1 (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apical</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apical</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from necrotic pulp and microorganisms, NaOCl rapidly damages the collagen of superficial dentin which might further affect the bonding of resin-based sealer to root dentin. [24] Though NaOCl solution is not expensive and has a long shelf life, precautions must be taken when it is being used as an irrigant during the root canal treatment. Because of its cytotoxic effects, inadvertent injections of irrigant instead of anesthesia, extrusion of irrigant beyond the apical foramen, and in the oral cavity lead to hypochlorite accidents.[25] According to Gwawehr et al, a combination of NaOCl and EDTA allows maintaining the calcium-binding capacity of EDTA but at the same time, it drastically decreases tissue degrading capacity of NAOCl by reducing the amount of released chlorine.[26] While super-oxidized water is rich in reactive oxygen at neutral pH. It is stable, easy to use, and has a long shelf life. Moreover, it removes the organic and inorganic components of the smear layer and exposes the intact collagen fibers in root dentin.[8] Hence bonding of resin-based sealer to root dentin might not be affected by super-oxidized water.

Negative pressure irrigating system (EndoVac, Kerr Dental, USA) claims to deliver the irrigant till the working length without being
extruded into the periapical area.\textsuperscript{[27]} In the present study, negative pressure irrigating system was used and it was effective in smear layer removal even at the apical area of the root canal. This result is an agreement with the previous studies conducted by Howard R K;\textsuperscript{[20]} and Jiang L M;\textsuperscript{[20]} 2012.\textsuperscript{[28, 29]}

Aseptic environment and meticulous debridement of the complex root canal is the main aim of endodontic treatment. As per the current study, super-oxidized water is statistically equivalent to a combination of 5% sodium hypochlorite and 17% EDTA in smear layer removal. Furthermore, super-oxidized water has limited adverse effects than sodium hypochlorite. Hence, it can be used as an alternative irrigant in the cases where the smear layer removal is highly recommended.

Conclusion

The novel irrigant, super-oxidized water alone facilitated the complete smear layer removal. Moreover, EndoVac enhanced the removal of the smear layer in the apical third area. However, various factors like saliva, blood, pulp, and crevicular fluid as well as the complexity of the root canal system could affect the treatment outcome in clinical conditions. Hence more in vivo studies need to be conducted to establish ‘super-oxidized water’ as a routine endodontic irrigant.
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